REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the subject application.

Claims 1, 7, 18, 19 and 21 have been amended, support for which may be found throughout the specification, drawings and claims as filed, an example of which may be found in originally filed claims 13 and 24.

Claims 1-26 are pending.

In view of the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections be withdrawn and the application be forwarded along to issuance

§ 101 Rejection

Claims 18-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to nonstatutory subject matter. In order to expedite prosecution, the Applicant has amended Claim 18 to recite "a processor and one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by the processor" and therefore recite hardware as requested by the Examiner, support for which may be found throughout the specification and drawings as filed, an example of which may be found in FIG. 5. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections

Claims 1-12 and 18-23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 20030025728 to Ebbo et al. (hereinafter "Ebbo"). Claims 13-17 and 24-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Ebbo in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 20030046364 to Sisco et al (hereinafter "Sisco").

Starting with the obviousness rejections, the Examiner relies on Ebbo for each of the rejections. However, Ebbo was commonly owned by the Microsoft Corporation at the time the invention was made and therefore is not available as prior art. *See MPEP 706.02(l)(2) and 35 U.S.C. Sec. 103(c).* Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection with respect to claims 13-17 and 24-26 is respectfully requested.

The Examiner, in the rejection of Claim 13, asserts Ebbo as teaching each of the claimed features, except that "Ebbo does not expressly teach the plurality of user interface templates are created using an Active Sever [sic] Page Language." *See Office Action, Page 10.* The Applicant has amended Claim 1 to recite "a compiled user interface template *created using an Active Server Page Language*"; Claim 7 to recite "a plurality of user interface templates *created using an Active Server Page Language*"; Claim 18 to recite "*wherein the Active Server Page includes a plurality of user interface templates created using an Active Server Page Language*"; and Claim 21 to recite "a plurality of user interface templates *created using an Active Server Page Language*". Accordingly, these claims are also allowable for at least the reasons asserted by the Examiner along with the reasons previously recited with respect to Claim 13. Withdrawal of the rejections with respect to Claims 1-12 and 18-23 is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

All of the claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant requests a Notice of Allowability be issued forthwith. If the Office's next anticipated action is to be anything other than issuance of a Notice of Allowability, Applicant respectfully requests a telephone call for the purpose of scheduling an interview.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 9/17/6

By: _____

William J. Breen III
Reg. No. 45,313
(509) 324-9256 x249